

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Stony Point TH

Tyler Haulotte

27

Seven Lakes Jk

Jacob koshak

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Stony Point  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE NEGATIVE CONFUSES THE STRATEGY OF THE AC BY ASSUMING THAT THE AC IS UTILITARIAN. THE AC ACTUALLY SUGGESTS THAT WHEN BOTH ACTIONS ARE DUTY - BOUND, FAILURE TO FULFILL ~~THE~~ EITHER DUTY IS NOT CONDEMNABLE. THE NL & THE NR ACTUALLY ~~BE~~ AFFIRM THESE AFFIRMATIVE ARGUMENTS.

BOTH THE AFF & NEG WOULD BE WELL SERVED BY WORKING ON CLARITY & ISSUE SELECTION, FOR EXAMPLE, THE NEGATIVE TENDS TO BE UNNECESSARILY VERBOSE. THE NEGATIVE'S FLOWING SKILLS ARE ALSO IN NEED OF IMPROVEMENT. NEXT, THE AFFIRMATIVE'S IAR STRATEGY IS CORRECT BUT ITS EXECUTION COULD BE IMPROVED BY FOCUSING ON TOPICS THAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT.

STAND UP ZAR? I KNOW WE'RE LATE BUT THIS IS NEVER AN ADVISABLE STRATEGY. YOU CAN AVOID USING THE PHRASE "SLOPPY CROSS APPLICATIONS." YOU'RE ALSO NOT USING A FLOW IN ZAR! THIS IMPERILS ANY SORT OF ORGANIZATION.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Walt Whitman EM

Emily Massey

28.5

Winston Churchill CD

Claire Daviss

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Whitman EM  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① DEF OF TO SAVE CREATES AN EQUAL INTENT STORY & THE NR AGREES THAT (M) IS COMPARATIVE. THIS MAKES THE NEG.'S JOB ALL BUT IMPOSSIBLE. THE ~~THE~~ VOLEX EV IS ONLY TRUE IF A RULE HAS BEEN VIOLATED BUT THIS PRESUMES THAT (M) IS A BINARY, NOT COMPARATIVE AS BOTH AGREE. THIS DISABLES THE NEGATIVE STRATEGY SO I EVALUATE THE AC

② THE NEG SEEMS TO MISUNDERSTAND TWO THINGS. ONE IS HYPOTHETICAL VS ACTUAL CONSENT & SECOND IS THE SYLOGISM'S STRUCTURE. AFF EXTENDS THE APPROPRIATE SEGMENTS TO WIN THE AC.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Dulles SC**

**Blake PO**

Sasha Chada

29

Phelan O'Neill

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Dulles SC  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

Sasha, no need to be  
SO LOUD. ☺  
You too, Phelan.

Two ships. Passing each other.  
And it's night.

Both NR and EAR are VERY sloppy

RFD: Cagoc is being won by Sasha  
veg concedes + heavy pre-empt + S  
Fairness doesn't matter.  
So all 3 + heavy args go away.  
Extension of CP in NR is  
defensive.

At worst, Glover and Zirk  
take out Cagoc.  
So, worst case, affirm on presumption.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Hockaday SR**

**St. John's MJ**

Sarah Ridout

28

Matt Joyce

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Hockaday  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: J. [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

RFD! The overview is irrelevant by the end of the round and the AC is evaluated before the AC. ~~AC~~ Despite the clear extending about why I would negate if the premise of the resolution is false, I do not think the AC interpretation reflects how the word is used. The AC argues that common usage dictates ~~that~~ that we do not call everyone guilty and, linguistically, we use the term in certain situations. Thus, I don't vote on the AC and the AC analysis is sufficient to prove no restriction exists against affirming.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Anderson MV

Pace Academy MM

Madeline Vuong

29.5

Michael Melia

29.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Anderson MV  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: In it is true that the <sup>IAR</sup> ~~me~~ drops the 100% burden, it is equally true that the IAR drops the actuality standard AND this is where I vote - while it maybe true that the interpretation of life is a clean ~~out~~ way out of the room I think the actuality standard is cleaner and gets me to moral permissibility with less ~~less~~ fewer problems - specifically the 1 and 3 from the AC are pretty good/clear reasons to default/vote affirmative under the standard of actuality. I don't think the context stuff applies b/c there is not any conditions affirming and there is no comparison/evaluation b/w context and the grammatical understanding of actuality being extended

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Greenhill EC**

Ed Culham

28

**Pace Academy AZ**

Alex Zhang

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Pace AZ  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Daryl Pinto

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Nozzle poses @ prohibition on killing

② Aff d/n must burden b/c torting won't always go aff ... not a great arg but just straight up dropped in the ZAR.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik) 052

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cathedral DD

Law Magnet LM

David Delgado

30

Lorena Nanez

17

The better debating was done by the aff from Cathedral DD  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed:

Nicole Heathcock

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: judge adaptation is your best friend, huh? ;)

I really enjoyed your case, one because it was interesting and two b/c I still live policy somewhere. ~~ex~~ excellent rebuttals.

neg: I wasn't expecting you to have blocks or anything to his framework, but unfortunately they still weren't enough. They have to be corrected applied to the case forme to understand how they function in taking out the aff framework, otherwise I still default to the aff for the direction of the round.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Marquette University SH

Samuel Hope

26.5

Albuquerque Academy KC

Kate Cygan

26.5

Takroom  
Confirmed -  
26.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Marquette SH  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Gary Johnson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg: Stop MISlabeling args "turns"

AFF: Stop screaming - we are in a small room.

The AR & ZAR are painful to listen to.

The standards are a mess.

I pick the biggest/worst impact, which  
is AFF's prevent extinction.



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AW

Andrew Waks

Winston Churchill AL

Amanda Legrand

The better debating was done by the

AFF

from

Westlake AW

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RPD] In order for neg to win there needs to be  
a response to the idea that the pos. social  
good = protection of max of innocent life who  
are reason this isn't true, it's the neg  
who isn't being comparative. Neg concedes  
conseq = good & makes arguments about equt  
distribution of rights but I tell me why I  
care about that more than max innoc life &  
I have 2 reasons I care most about max innoc  
life :: that's the conseq framework. From there  
I clearly do affirm. 2AR is right about not  
having lied in ex. 2ND neg'd explain why  
ex was a lie and the only reason that aff extends  
this is about "moral truth" - rest moral.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Apple Valley KG**

Kevin Granlund

27

**Hockaday KF**

Kathleen French

28

The better debating was done by the

AFF. / NEG.

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Jo Russell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

For me, the determining factor was the green card  
which est. that the differences of acting vs of acting  
are erased. aff. also managed to est. that aff.  
will always @.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

New Trier Township CC

Charlie Cao

29

Austin SFA JT

James Tobey

28

The better debating was done by the aff. from New Trier  
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Jo Russell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

aff. offered better definitional sources & analysis. He est. that life was a pre-requisite to any issue of morality, that history will serve as a cl on neg's impo. His stand of maximizing life protection of w'd other args, esp. since he increased recognition of human worth as individual humanized by a single death in real life.

Good round!

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**St. Vincent De Paul EP**

**Anderson BB**

Ethan Pawson

26

Bronte Bejarano

26

The better debating was done by the NEG from Anderson  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff 234

- Interesting ~~for~~ framework
- I think you would be better suited making stronger utilitarian good arguments

Neg 59

- I can understand you but I think you would be better ~~at~~ at this point in your ~~career~~ debate career if you slowed down and clearly say your point once rather than say it quickly as you can several times over. for example in this round you ~~spoke~~ about 5 min of argumentation but spent 2 min just repeating what you already said or tripping over your speed trying to make your arguments clearer.

Room: Lib218  
Flight B: Start 12:45 pm  
LD

6

Evnen, Tom

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Austin SFA JM

James Monaco

28

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

Los Altos DM

Daniel Moerner

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Los Altos DM  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Alief Kerr JT**

**Marcus CC**

Joshua Tang

29.5

Carson Chalmers

29.5

The better debating was done by the AA from Kerr JT  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

As bad as the Affirmative world may be, and despite a serious challenge to the major premise of the AC, the Negative fails to adequately answer the acceptance that we can kill many to save one (the AC burden on the Neg). Had the Negative rejected the burden, the dehumanization impacts might have come into play from the NC. The Aff has a clear extension of the NC standard as to why human worth (not well defined or qualified) is harmed because of a lack of equality. The Neg's attempts to outweigh the AC's life standard seem justified if it didn't violate its own standard.

AFF: ENUNCIATE

# HEART OF TEXAS

used to be  
1:53:10

move - very difficult  
to understand you.

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

Law Magnet JR

Carrollton School of Ag

JAQUELINE RIOS

25

Alina gomez

26

Long AC was

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

side of structure -

it sort of lurched along  
From idea to idea.

What a mess.

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

## COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

My case was much better organized/structured and there  
was a lot easier to follow.

Very jumbled round, or maybe I'm just very tired.  
The debate who made it easier to follow was the  
negative, both in delivery & in structure. What I  
voted on (most important) were:

RT to life outweighs societal obligations

Body counts ≠ moral justification

No individual can be judged less (or more) important than  
any other.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Winston Churchill LB**

**Awty International PH**

Lynn Bimler

29

Phillips Hogan

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Awty International  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: J. P. [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

REF! If I buy the AC burden and use cost-benefit analysis I still cannot affirm because the 2AR does not cover the solvency argument. The AC benefits are speculative so I ~~can't~~ <sup>can't</sup> evaluate them. Ultimately, I negate based on the extended AC burden analysis and the lack of affirmative offense. The AC is very explicit about morality being comparative (and tells a well constructed link story) but the AR (more specifically the 2AR) does not effectively extend / communicate that link story. ~~can't~~



Room: Lib215  
Flight B: Start 12:45 pm  
LD

6

Werner, Andy

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Stony Point MY**

**Dulles KG**

Mirusha Yogarajah

26

Kiwi Gupta

27

The better debating was done by the

MY

from

J

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Andy Werner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

8/21

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

Jenks DR

Mayde Creek DA

Daniel Rose


27

Danny Alexander

26

The better debating was done by the ACF from JENKS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: 

## COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1. IT ISNT PROVEN THAT THE AC IS UTILITARIAN  
SO I DONT VOTE ON THE NC.
2. THE OFF CASE MAKES NO SENSE.
3. THE AC SEEMS TO HAVE A WARRANT  
TO ME, THAT THE NEG IS NEVER  
CHALLENGING.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

Cascia Hall DA

Daniel Auffenberg

POINTS

 20

## NEGATIVE

Blake SM

Susie Marshall

POINTS

30 215

The better debating was done by the Neg from Blake SM  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff IS Not Answering  
Specific Claims of  
Neg's 1st & 2nd off  
Case.

Re RD 3 Ballot: Pinto Please Dont Point To  
Your Crotch While Judging

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Northland Christian TC**

Thomas Carmichael

27

**Plano TL**

Tomas Lorinc

28 JR

The better debating was done by the Aff from Plano TL  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~NC~~ The NC is gaining the benefits of the AC. AC ran theory poorly. There were 8 other probable violations, but you chose the one that made sense. The structure of the NC isn't difficult, & he never says the observations function independently. I negate off the extension of Com in OB#3 when we violate Rts. for protection of others hurt rights/morality.

Room: Lib102  
Flight B: Start 12:45 pm  
LD

6

Beck, Jesse

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Shawnee HS**

**West Des Moines Valle YZ**

Heather Swadley

28

Yale Zhu

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Shawnee HS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirm b/c AC maximizes agency through hypothetical  
consent.

Room: Lib216  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Groner, Adam

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Brophy College Prep JL**

**Westwood AA**

Jeff Lockhart

28

Abhay Agarwal

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Brophy  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

AS

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Meadows JL**

**New Trier Township XX**

Jordan LaMothe

29

Andrew Chesley

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Meadows JL  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Massey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Theory is RVI - Aff wins extuality + RVI

Room: FA120  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Gunn, Ian

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Southlake Carroll RB**

Ryan Bennett

28.5

**Scarsdale MM**

Marcus Moretti

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Southlake Carroll RB  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Vestavia Hills High KS**

**Bronx Science BE**

Kevin Sipe

28

Bobby Esnard

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bronx BE  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

J Massey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Theory - but ZAR didn't really answer 1st NR  
response that there was no theory abuse in this  
round because NC had dropped spikes in AC.

Room: N229  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Aguilar, Josh

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

1:04

POINTS

NEGATIVE :50

POINTS

Southlake Carroll CC

Harker SR

Cathy Creighton

28

Sachin Rangarajan

29

The better debating was done by the May from Harker SR  
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Josh Aguilar

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The neg was ~~for~~ T. "To" means that an action is ~~the~~ done w/  
the intention of achieving something else. The AC ~~of~~ meet this  
interpretation, b/c the aid workers (May not die at all and J-P  
killed w/ the intention to save



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College PB

Philip Bartholomew

26.5

**NEGATIVE**

Elkins JS

Joey Schwartz

POINTS

25-5 =

20

The better debating was done by the

AFF  
AFF or NEG

from

Strake PB  
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*Sam*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg Failed To Remotely

Attempto Adapt to My Paradigm!

You Have 6 Xs AND 12 C's

Not Sure You Didn't Use 'em

Don't  
Stand +  
File  
While  
Your  
oppon  
IS  
Speaking

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cascia Hall RK

Hattiesburg BK

Rahul Kohli

22

Bretton Klinedinst

15

The better debating was done by the AFF from CASCIA HALL RK  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

MAK

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

BRETTON,  
MIND YOUR VERBAGE, TONGUE-IN-CHEEK COMMENTS, AND  
HOW YOU PRONOUNCE CERTAIN WORDS ( ~~PHILOSOPHERS'~~ PHILOSOPHERS' )  
SUCH AS NAMES

CX:

RAHUL - "WHAT MAKES KANT RIGHT?"

BRETTON - "BECAUSE HE'S AWESOME. BECAUSE HE'S A  
PHILOSOPHER. HE TALKS ABOUT MORALITY."

IAR:

" 'TO' IS USED AS A FUNCTION WORD. " I DO NOT THINK THAT  
I FOLLOW YOU ON THIS ONE.

NZ:

I STOPPED COUNTING AFTER THE 10TH TIME YOU MENTIONED  
HITLER'S NAME.

Room: Lib218  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Evnen, Tom

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Homeschool KV**

Kelsey Valadez

27

**Bronx Science AS**


Adam Silver

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Bronx AS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: Lib199  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD 1:22 10 43

6

1130

Hartney, Gregg

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

Marcus EK

Evan Kirkham

27

Colleyville Heritage MT

Mubeen Tejani

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Mubeen Tejani - CHMT  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CLARIFY!!!!

Way too much immersion in detail, way too little big picture from both debaters. It makes me intervene & one of you is going to hate that.

I am not persuaded by aff position that b/c a person can decide to sacrifice their own life that it is also OK to take another's - Neg argues this is not revolutionary & I agree. I also buy Neg position that we cannot put quantity of life over quality of life.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Torrey Pines NK**

Naveen Krishnamurthi

**Monticello MT**

Matthew Thomas

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff 2 things: ① Your ~~te~~ have ~~zero~~ internal structure/ordering. When you're arguing a case w/o v/c, c1, c2 - you still need tags: "premise" / "warrants" / "impacts" / etc. ② You say ~~your~~ your case structure is a syllogism b/c you prove morality = x and affirming = x  $\therefore$  aff = morality. ③ A syllogism looks like A = B, B = C  $\therefore$  A = C. ④ A = B, C = B, A  $\neq$  C necessarily. ~~logically~~ logical fallacy. Think cats = mammal, dogs = mammal. cats  $\neq$  dogs though.



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill TT

West Des Moines Valle AK

Tanya Thanawalla

28

Alex Kramer

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from West Des Moines AK  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: This round is problematic b/c neither debater gives me a order as to how to evaluate the round - this produces a very specific problem b/c each of you all win a separate/distinct argument, which is a round winner, BUT I don't have any explanation as to how to evaluate them against each other save a last minute blip in the 2AR or a dropped 1AR argument. It seems more fair to grant 1AR the arg that AC of meet no textual burden, which is a burden 2 to AC permissibility rule against drop then allow for 2AR evaluation.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

West GT

Graham Tierney

28

Northland Christian MD

Molly Dunn

29

The better debating was done by the neg from Northland Christian MD  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Nicole Heathcock

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1:02: neg  
1:30: aff

neg: very good negative rebuttal. Thank you for listening to what I ~~said~~ said about how I flow/evaluate the args. in them.

This one is a fairly difficult decision, because both of you allowed a few concessions to happen that could have lost/won the round for either of you. I don't feel as if the neg fully understood the arg. built around the definition of kill or the arg. right under the definitions saying that as long as the act resulting of the killing is moral, the act is as well. However, the aff didn't articulate the extension of the second argument ~~during~~ during the IAR, and I can't tell if the confusion around the "ends based" debate was intentional to mislead the neg or just an oversight. Either way, the negative rebuttal is what cleared up the round for me the most, and because of the thorough extension of the ~~hypothesis/assumption~~ cards w/o the extension of the aff's arg.

Room: GH101  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Vohra, Taarini

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Crowley DL**

Daniel Lumpee

28

**Apple Valley CT**

Chris Theis

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Apple Valley CT  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Tarini Vohra

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: GH101  
Flight B: Start 12:45 pm  
LD

6

Vohra, Taarini

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Mayde Creek SZ**

Sara Zaman

27.5

**Jesuit WS**

William Simoneaux

27.5

The better debating was done by the

Am  
AFF or NEG

from

Mayde Creek SZ  
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Tarini R

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: Lib215  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Werner, Andy

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Salado TC**

**Scarsdale DD**

Tyler Cook

26

Daniel Daks

27

The better debating was done by the NEG from 2  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Werner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote on forms to ~~NEG~~ AL

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Bronx Science SS**

Saboor Sheerazi

29

**Westlake DR**

Devin Race

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westlake DR  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Gary Johnson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff Links into K.  
No standard on theory for fairness.  
N4/K comes 1st.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian JR

Walt Whitman JK

Josh Roberts

30

Jane Kessner

30

The better debating was done by the ALL from Northland JR  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- ① I think that the theory issue is a wash, so I evaluate the off-case. Aff extension of definition of morality means permissibility can merely fall as a part of being right or wrong. However, Neg fails to respond to the definition, so the nature of moral permissibility can fall on the binary, not the sliding scale of morality.
- ② The extensions of Kaninski and Stacy prove dignity is not violated. Neg could get out of this by extending the Kant card referencing how dignity cannot be quantified, but doesn't do that.

Room: N231  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Jeffers, Douglas

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Greenhill DS**

**Kingwood NG**

Danielle Smogard

30

Neil Grigg

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from GREEN HILL  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*Douglas Jeffers*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE OFF CASE IS VERY POORLY EXPLAINED  
SO I DON'T VOTE ON IT. I'M LEFT WEIGHING  
THE ALLOW EQUALITY AGAINST THE ARGUMENT  
ABOUT IMPINGING FREEDOM. I THINK THE  
AFF ARGUMENT IS MORE TIGHTLY LINKED  
TO THE REASON ABILENESS STANDARD. I  
COULD ALSO VOTE AFF ON THE OFF CASE,  
SINCE IT SEEMS TO LEAD TO SKEPTICISM  
WHICH, AS THE WAR EXTENDS, AFFIRMS.



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Los Altos JW**

**Hockaday SV**

Jing Wang

28.5

Shivani Vohra

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hockaday SV  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*theory  
culpability different  
Oral*

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Carnegie Vanguard RV**

**Albuquerque Academy IE**

Rachel Vogel

28.5

Ian Erickson-Kery

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Carnegie Vanguard RV  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Close round

② Extremely sloppy extensions - it was hard to know exactly what parts of the case you were referring to. This is ~~more~~ applies much more to the Neg's second speech.

RFD: ① I don't have an answer to the kritik being non-unique  
- all words have a good deal of meaning - that's what the AC speaks to

② I don't have an answer to the distinction b/w killing and death & how we know more of the content of killing which is the <sup>actual</sup> reward in the ~~the~~ resolution

③ The AFF's case is clearly extended to meet her burden.

Room: N203  
Flight A: Start 12:00 pm  
LD

6

Nelson, Adam

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Glenbrook North PD**

Pat Donovan

30

**Mountain View DK**

Daniel Khalessi

30

The better debating was done by the

Neg  
AFF or NEG

from

Mountain View DK  
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

OK

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Hebron JW**

**Kingwood GA**

Jonathan Wallen

24

Geoff Alexander

25

The better debating was done by the AFF from Hebron  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff m m left

0.44 run NO

it only took you 5 minutes to  
read the AC so you can  
either slow down and be  
clearer or you can add more  
onto your case.

Room: N232  
Flight B: Start 12:45 pm  
LD

6

Wilson, Matt

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Strake Jesuit College PT**

Paul Tyger

29.5

**Newtown High School ( AA**

Akshay Agashe

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake PT  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**West RB**

**Plano East SS**

Ryan Beck

25

Shilpa Sethuraman

27.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Plano East SS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

St. Vincent De Paul BB

Colleyville Heritage BB

Brian Bordley

25

Bekah Boyer

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Colleyville BB  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR ESSENTIALLY CONSISTED OF 4 MINUTES OF IL-EXPLAINED, IMPROPER EXTENSIONS. RATHER THAN EXTENDING TAGS + AUTHORS' NAMES, APPROPRIATE EXTENSIONS REQUIRE AT LEAST SOME MENTION OF THE WARRANT AND IMPACT OF THE ARGUMENT.

I AM HAVING GREAT DIFFICULTY SWALLOWING AFF'S THEORY RANT, I.E., THAT ANY NEG ARGUMENTS ABOUT CONSEQUENTIALISM DESTROY GROUND, KILL EDUCATION, AND PERPETUATE ABUSE. GIVEN THE WEALTH OF AR ARGUMENTATION + HIS BRISK PACE, I AM WHOLLY UNCONVINCED THAT NEG'S POINTS INHIBIT CLASH.

AR MISHANDLES TOO MANY ISSUES. AS NR EXTENDS, PLACING A PREMIUM ON THE SANCTITY OF LIFE DEMANDS THAT WE NOT SACRIFICE AN INNOCENT FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF SAVING OTHERS. CAULAHAN IS CLEANLY EXTENDED ACROSS THE FLOW, DISPLAYING HOW AFF'S UTILITARIAN FRAMEWORK COMPROMISES DIGNITY, AUTONOMY, + RESPECT 4 LIFE.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Brophy College Prep MJ**

Matt Johnson

26.5

**San Ramon Valley AS**

Amanda Swenson

20

The better debating was done by the Neg from San Ramon Valley AS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Handwritten signature]*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*Neg - don't scoff during opponent's speeches. It's ~~unfair~~ rude.*

ORAL

*Aff unresponsive to Neg eff-case + default args, drops them in 2AR*



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight  
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE** :39

POINTS

**Seven Lakes Sd**

**Monticello DC**

Steve delperdang

27

Daniel Cook

28.5

The better debating was done by the Meg from Monticello DC  
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The negative wins that moral actions need to be "pure" i.e. not have exceptions and not have an interest in any particular end. This means the affirmative cannot prove the resolution true - ~~to~~ Kill one to save more because that creates an exception for Mles.